UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,563	07/17/2003	Attaullah Mirza-Baig	229627US28	6094
	7590 06/06/200 AK, MCCLELLAND I	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			BIBBEE, JARED M	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2161		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/620,563	MIRZA-BAIG, ATTAULLAH		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	JARED M. BIBBEE	2161					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Col	mnliant Amendment (I	DTOL -324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inplication (i	102 024).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. A The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance				
See Continuation Sheet. 12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)						
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other:							
	/Etienne P LeRoux/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2161					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the element 300 in Pulsipher is not any type of plug-in, and does not execute any computer controls through a standard network management software. Applicant's argument is not correct and Examiner is not persuaded because, Pulsipher does teach a plug-in (300, Fig. 3) for use with a standard network management software (140, Fig. 3) by being installed in a network server storing a respective one of the standard network management software, that discovers all devices on a network and that stores information about the discovered devices in a database (see paragraph [0028]; Note that the device discovery module (300) serves the same purpose as Applicant's claimed plug-in.). Applicant seems to be improperly narrowing the term plug-in, possibly according to what is in the specification of Applicant. However, a plug-in at its broadest reasonable interpretation is fulfilled by Pulsipher's device discovery module (300) because it can be transported and implemented on various other network servers due to the mere fact that it's a combination of software which we all know can be implemented in various other situations.

Applicant also argues that the device discovery module 300 in Pulsipher in only provided between the network interface 150 and the network management software 140, and further the device discovery module 300 in Pulsipher does not utilize the network management software 140 to perform the claimed first, second, third, and fourth computer controls. Applicant's argument is not correct, Examiner is not persuaded because Pulispher in paragraph [0028] states "a device discovery module (300) of the network management software (140)", suggesting that the device discovery module is part of the network management software thus, any actions taken or performed by the device discovery module would be done so through the network management software.

Given the above reasons, Examiner maintains the rejections presented in the previous office action.